2

FINAL CLOSE-OUT SECOND AND FINAL FOLLOW-UP

ANNUAL AUDIT OF TREASURER'S INVESTMENT COMPLIANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED 12/31/09

As of March 31, 2013

Our Second Follow-Up Audit found the Treasurer-Tax Collector fully implemented one (1) recommendation and one (1) recommendation was closed because it is no longer applicable from our original audit containing fourteen (14) recommendations.

Previously, eleven (11) recommendations were implemented, one (1) recommendation was closed, and two (2) recommendations were in process of implementation in our First Follow-Up audit report dated February 28, 2012.

During the original audit, investments held at fair value totaled \$7.27 billion.

AUDIT NO: 1219-A (ORIGINAL AUDIT NO. 2953)

REPORT DATE: MAY 14, 2013

Director: Dr. Peter Hughes, MBA, CPA, CIA Senior Audit Manager: Alan Marcum, CPA, CIA Audit Manager: Lisette Free, CPA, CFE Senior Internal Auditor: Abdul Khan, CPA, CIA

RISK BASED AUDITING

GAO & IIA Peer Review Compliant - 2001, 2004, 2007, 2010

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Award to Dr. Peter Hughes as 2010 Outstanding CPA of the Year for Local Government

GRC (Government, Risk & Compliance) Group 2010 Award to IAD as MVP in Risk Management









GAO & IIA Peer Review Compliant - 2001, 2004, 2007, 2010

Providing Facts and Perspectives Countywide

RISK BASED AUDITING

Dr. Peter Hughes Ph.D., MBA, CPA, CCEP, CITP, CIA, CFE, CFF, CGMA

Director Certified Compliance & Ethics Professional (CCEP)

Certified Information Technology Professional (CITP)

Certified Internal Auditor (CIA)

Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE)

Certified in Financial Forensics (CFF)

Chartered Global Management Accountant (CGMA)

E-mail: peter.hughes@iad.ocgov.com

Michael Goodwin CPA, CIA

Senior Audit Manager

Alan Marcum MBA, CPA, CIA, CFE

Senior Audit Manager

Hall of Finance & Records

12 Civic Center Plaza, Room 232 Santa Ana, CA 92701

Phone: (714) 834-5475 Fax: (714) 834-2880

To access and view audit reports or obtain additional information about the OC Internal Audit Department, visit our website: www.ocgov.com/audit



OC Fraud Hotline (714) 834-3608

Letter from Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA



Transmittal Letter



Audit No. 1219-A May 14, 2013

TO: Members, Treasury Oversight Committee

FROM: Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director

Internal Audit Department

SUBJECT: Second and Final Close-Out Follow-Up:

Annual Audit of Treasurer's Investment Compliance For the Year Ended December 31, 2009, Original Audit No. 2953, Issued

May 12, 2011

We have completed a Second Follow-Up Audit of Treasurer's Investment Compliance for the year ended December 31, 2009. Our audit was limited to reviewing, as of March 31, 2013, actions taken to implement the **two (2) recommendations** remaining from our First Follow-Up Audit report dated February 28, 2012. We conducted this Second Follow-Up Audit in accordance with the *FY 12-13 Audit Plan and Risk Assessment* approved by the Audit Oversight Committee and Board of Supervisors (BOS).

The results of our Second Follow-Up Audit are discussed in the **OC Internal Auditor's Report** following this transmittal letter. Our Second Follow-Up Audit found the Treasurer fully implemented one (1) recommendation and one (1) recommendation was closed because it was no longer applicable. Previously, eleven (11) recommendations were implemented, one (1) recommendation was closed, and two (2) recommendations were in process of implementation in our First Follow-Up Audit report dated February 28, 2012. As such, this report represents the final close-out of the original audit.

Each month I submit an **Audit Status Report** to the BOS where I detail any material and significant audit findings released in reports during the prior month and the implementation status of audit recommendations as disclosed by our Follow-Up Audits. Accordingly, the results of this audit will be included in a future status report to the BOS.

Other recipients of this report are listed on the OC Internal Auditor's Report on pages 7 and 8.

Table of Contents



Second and Final Close-Out Follow-Up: Annual Audit of Treasurer's Investment Compliance For the Year Ended 12/31/09 Audit No. 1219-A

As of March 31, 2013

Transmittal Letter	i
OC Internal Auditor's Report	1



Audit No. 1219-A May 14, 2013

TO: Members, Treasury Oversight Committee

FROM: Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director

Internal Audit Department

SUBJECT: Second and Final Close-Out Follow-Up: Annual Audit of Treasurer's Investment

Compliance For the Year Ended December 31, 2009, Original Audit No. 2953,

Sugkes

Issued May 12, 2011

Scope of Review

We have completed a Second Follow-Up Audit of Treasurer's Investment Compliance for the year ended December 31, 2009. Our audit was limited to reviewing actions taken, as of March 31, 2013, to implement the **two (2) recommendations** remaining from our First Follow-Up Audit report dated February 28, 2012.

The original audit contained fourteen (14) recommendations. In our First Follow-Up Audit, eleven (11) recommendations were implemented, one (1) recommendation was closed, and two (2) recommendations were in process of implementation.

Background

We conducted an examination of the Treasurer's Investment Compliance for the year ended December 31, 2009 in accordance with the attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The original audit disclosed two (2) Material Non-Compliance Exceptions and one (1) Material Weakness in internal control. The two (2) Material Non-Compliance Exceptions dealt with purchasing prohibited investments. The one (1) Material Weakness in internal control relates to the adequacy of internal controls to prevent and detect the purchase of prohibited investments.

California Government Code Sections 27000.1, 27000.3, and 53600.3 grant a county board of supervisors, not a county treasurer, with authority for investing public funds. Thus, under California law, county boards of supervisors generally have primary responsibility for investment of public funds in a county treasury. The authority of the board to invest or reinvest funds deposited in a county treasury may be delegated for a one-year period by the board. Subject to review, such a delegation may be renewed annually (Government Code Section 53607). It is common practice for the board of supervisors for counties in the State to delegate its investment responsibility.

California law requires the Treasurer to prepare an annual investment policy statement. The County's Investment Policy Statement (IPS) is reviewed and approved by the County's Treasury Oversight Committee (TOC) and the Board of Supervisors on an annual basis. The IPS, to the extent consistent with the restrictions of state law, establishes the legal parameters by which the Treasurer, or other qualified party to which investment authority is delegated, may invest monies deposited and held within the County treasury.



Historically, the Board of Supervisors performs an annual review of the Treasurer's performance and has delegated its investment authority to the County Treasurer. The most recent renewal of investment authority was January 8, 2013 for calendar year 2013.

On February 2, 2010, the Board of Supervisors directed the Internal Audit Department to conduct this examination to determine whether the treasury investments complied with both applicable California law and the IPS for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010, and 2011. As the public stewards of \$7 billion of taxpayers' monies, compliance with the County's IPS is of the highest priority for the Board of Supervisors. We are reminded that in 1995, a former Treasurer, unbeknownst to the Board of Supervisors, invested billions of County funds into extremely high risk and prohibited securities that resulted in a \$1.6 billion loss. The importance of having the Treasurer's office establish and practice sound internal controls ensures that the Treasurer's office is in full compliance with the applicable California Government Code and the IPS.

On November 2, 2010, a new County Treasurer-Tax Collector, Shari L. Freidenrich, was elected; and on December 14, 2010 the Board of Supervisors delegated investment authority, effective January 14, 2011, to the new County Treasurer-Tax Collector.

Results

Our Second Follow-Up Audit indicated the Treasurer **implemented one (1) recommendation** and one (1) recommendation was closed because it is no longer applicable. As such, we consider the original audit closed for follow-up purposes. The following is the implementation status of the **fourteen (14) original recommendations**:

 Finding No. 1 – Controls Were Not Adequate to Prevent and Detect Overrides and Noncompliance with the IPS for Investment Purchases – Noncompliance with IPS Section XVI – INTERNAL CONTROLS (Material Weakness in Internal Control)

Recommendation No. 1 – We recommend that the Treasurer-Tax Collector reconfigure the pre-trade parameters in the Bloomberg Investment System to include the requirement to purchase only investments with two current credit ratings and issued by entities organized within the United States.

Status as of January 25, 2012: Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit). The Bloomberg Investment system pre-trade compliance parameters were reconfigured on January 6, 2011 to include an additional rule for all purchases of municipal debt requiring approval by either the Chief Portfolio Manager or Accounting/Compliance Manager to purchase local agency debt issued within Orange County where the security purchased has less than two (2) credit ratings. All other purchases of municipal debt are required to meet the credit rating restrictions set forth in the IPS. Any purchases of municipal debt from agencies in Orange County appear as a compliance error; if approved, a memo is prepared by the Accounting/Compliance Manager and approved by the Chief Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector and is placed with the trade packet showing override of the pre-trade rule as the investment meets IPS guidelines.

In addition, on February 10, 2010, the Treasurer added a pre-trade compliance rule within the Bloomberg Investment System. This rule ensures that all purchases are domiciled within the United States and the rule cannot be overridden.



2. Finding No. 2 – Treasurer Overrode the IPS and Purchased Debt Securities with Less Than the Required Two Credit Ratings – Noncompliance with IPS Section VII – INVESTMENT RESTRICTIONS (Material Compliance Exception)

Recommendation No. 2 – We recommend that the Treasurer-Tax Collector ensure that purchases of debt securities have the minimum required rating by at least two Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations at the time of purchase as required by the Investment Policy Statement. Additionally, the Treasurer-Tax Collector's staff be formally informed that neither management nor the investment officers are to override the Investment Policy Statement.

Status as of January 25, 2012: Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit). The Bloomberg Investment system pre-trade compliance parameters were reconfigured on January 6, 2011 to include an additional rule for all purchases of municipal debt requiring approval by either the Chief Portfolio Manager or Accounting/Compliance Manager to purchase local agency debt issued within Orange County where the security purchased has less than two (2) credit ratings. All other purchases of municipal debt are required to meet the credit rating restrictions set forth in the IPS. Any purchases of municipal debt from agencies in Orange County appear as a compliance error; if approved, a memo is prepared by the Accounting/Compliance Manager and approved by the Chief Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector and is placed with the trade packet showing override of the pre-trade rule as the investment meets IPS guidelines.

3. Finding No. 3 – Treasurer Improperly Purchased Commercial Paper and Medium Term Notes from Prohibited Issuers Organized Outside of the United States – Noncompliance with Government Code Section 53601 and IPS Section VI – <u>AUTHORIZED INVESTMENTS</u> (Material Compliance Exception)

Recommendation No. 3 – We recommend that the Treasurer-Tax Collector ensure that purchases of commercial paper and medium term notes are only from issuers organized within the United States.

Status as of January 25, 2012: Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit). On February 10, 2010, the Treasurer added a pre-trade compliance rule within the Bloomberg Investment System. This rule ensures that all purchases are domiciled within the United States and the rule cannot be overridden.

4. Finding No. 4 – Treasury Oversight Committee did not Review as Required \$6 Million Administrative and Overhead Fees Charged to Pool Participants – Noncompliance with IPS Section XVI – COMPENSATION AGREEMENT

Recommendation No. 4 – We recommend that the Treasury Oversight Committee ensure that administrative and overhead fees charged to pool participants are reviewed annually as required by the Investment Policy Statement.

Status as of January 25, 2012: Closed (First Follow-Up Audit). A summary of the 2006-2009 annual treasury cost allocation study was included on the Treasury Oversight Committee's (TOC) Agenda for October 26, 2011 as a new business item of "Receive & file



2006-2009 Treasury Administration Fee". The item was reviewed and filed by the TOC as required per the Investment Policy Statement.

The 2010 and 2011 Treasury Administration Fees reviews are in process, but have not yet been completed. However, on January 10 2012, the Board of Supervisors approved the Investment Policy Statement removing the requirement for the TOC to annually review the administrative and overhead fees.

5. Finding No. 5 – TOC did not Provide a Required Annual Report to the BOS – Noncompliance with Resolution No. 95-946, Establishment of New Treasury Oversight Committee Pursuant to Provisions of SB 866, Clause 6

Recommendation No. 5 – We recommend that the Treasury Oversight Committee ensure that they provide both an oral and written report at least once a year to the Board of Supervisors during open session as required by resolution.

Status as of January 25, 2012: **Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit).** The Treasury Oversight Committee presented the 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010 annual reports to the Board of Supervisors at their July 26, 2011 regular meeting.

In addition, the Treasury Oversight Committee at their October 26, 2011 regular meeting approved an amendment to their Bylaws by adding the following provision to be aligned with the original 1995 Board Resolution:

Rule 30. In accordance with Resolution 95-946, clause 6, of the County of Orange Board of Supervisors the Committee shall present an oral and written report to this Board during open session no less frequently than annually. In all instances, the Committee shall be required to immediately report to this Board any substantial concern relative to the Treasurer's compliance with applicable law, County policy or treasury policy, if such shall come to the attention of the Committee.

On January 10, 2012, the Board of Supervisors approved the revised Bylaws. The Annual TOC Report for 2011 was approved as amended at the regular meeting of the TOC on January 25, 2012.

6. Finding No. 6 – Policies and Procedures were not Documented for the Treasury Oversight Committee's Required Investigation of Alleged Irregularities in the Treasury Operation

Recommendation No. 6 – We recommend that the Treasury Oversight Committee develop and document policies and procedures to fulfill their responsibilities for investigating and reporting upon any and all irregularities in the treasury operation which become known to the Committee as required by the Board of Supervisors' Resolution No. 95-946.

Status as of January 25, 2012: **Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit).** The Treasury Oversight Committee at their regular meeting of October 26, 2011 approved a formal policy for investigating and reporting upon any and all irregularities in the treasury operation which become known to the Committee.



Furthermore, the Treasury Oversight Committee at their October 26, 2011 regular meeting approved an amendment to their Bylaws by adding the following provision to be aligned with the original 1995 Board Resolution:

Rule 31. In accordance with Resolution 95-946, clause 4(c), of the County of Orange Board of Supervisors the Committee shall investigate any and all irregularities in the treasury operation which become known to the Committee. The Committee will develop and document policy and procedures to investigate and report such irregularities.

On January 10, 2012, the Board of Supervisors approved the revised Bylaws.

7. Finding No. 7 – Investment Policy Statement does not Clearly Define "Organized and Operating in the United States"

Recommendation No. 7 – We recommend that the Treasurer-Tax Collector review the Investment Policy Statement Section VI – AUTHORIZED INVESTMENTS to determine if the phrase "organized and operating in the United States" needs to be clarified.

Status as of January 25, 2012: Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit). The Treasurer-Tax Collector reviewed the referenced IPS section and determined the phrase "organized and operating in the United States" does not need further clarification as it is directly out of California Government Code 53601. We verified that "organized and operating in the United States" was a direct quote from the California Government Code.

8. Finding No. 8 – Treasurer Does Not Use a Commonly Accepted Municipal Benchmark for the County's Portfolio – Government Code Section 27134

Recommendation No. 8 – We recommend that the Treasurer-Tax Collector reevaluate the investment portfolio's performance standards and consider the appropriateness of benchmarking an index consisting of U.S. Treasury notes and bonds as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Committee and revise the Investment Policy Statement as appropriate for review by the Treasury Oversight Committee and approval by the Board of Supervisors.

<u>Current Status</u>: **Closed (Second Follow-Up Audit).** T-TC reevaluated the investment portfolio's performance standards and is in the process of considering the appropriateness of performance measurements and benchmarking. However, we also noted that the 2012 Local Agency Investment Guidelines provided by California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission no longer recommend that "an investment portfolio report should include comparative performance measures or benchmarks."

9. Finding No. 9 – One Designated Employee did not File the Required Statement of Economic Interests Disclosure – Noncompliance with IPS Section X – <u>ETHICS AND CONFLICT OF INTEREST</u>

Recommendation No. 9 – We recommend that the Treasurer-Tax Collector have the Accounting and Compliance Manager complete and submit a State of California Form 700 – Statement of Economic Interests Disclosure to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.



<u>Status as of January 25, 2012:</u> **Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit).** On December 30, 2010, the Accounting/Compliance Manager filed late copies of her Form 700 for calendar years 2008 and 2009 which was received and stamped by the Clerk of the Board.

10. Finding No. 10 – Continuous Review of Qualified Institutions was not Completed and Approved – IPS Section XI – <u>AUTHORIZED FINANCIAL DEALERS AND QUALIFIED INSTITUTIONS</u>

Recommendation No. 10 – We recommend that the Treasurer-Tax Collector: (a) improve policy and procedures to be followed in reviewing and reporting political contributions from qualified institutions; (b) ensure that qualified and systematic supervisory review is provided and documented to the integrity of the review of qualified institutions; and (c) ensure that the review of qualified institutions is complete.

<u>Status as of January 25, 2012</u>: **Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit).** The written policy for the Broker Dealer Annual Review has been modified to include supervisory review and approval by the Chief Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector. The broker dealer annual review for the year ended December 31, 2010 was completed and reviewed by the Chief Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector.

11. Finding No. 11 – Documentation was not on File to Support Nomination of the Representative for Special Districts - Government Code Section 27132

Recommendation No. 11 – We recommend that the Treasury Oversight Committee consult with County Counsel regarding the appointment of the representative of the special districts and to clarify what documentation is necessary to support the candidate selection by the presiding officers of the legislative bodies of the special districts.

Status as of January 25, 2012: **Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit).** The Committee eliminated this provision of the Bylaws in their January 27, 2010 regular meeting and the revised Bylaws were approved by the Board of Supervisors on April 19, 2011.

12. Finding No. 12 – Expiration of TOC Public Member's Term – Noncompliance with Bylaws and Rules of Procedures of the County of Orange Treasury Oversight Committee Section III – Chair and Vice Chair Powers and Duties, Rule 9

Recommendation No. 12 – We recommend that the Treasurer-Tax Collector develop a process to timely identify and nominate qualified candidates subject to term limits for the Board of Supervisors' confirmation as required by Rule 9 in the County of Orange Treasury Oversight Committee Bylaws and Rules of Procedure.

<u>Current Status</u>: **Implemented (Second Follow-Up Audit).** The Treasurer-Tax Collector developed and implemented a process to timely identify and nominate qualified candidates subject to term limits for the Board of Supervisors' confirmation as required by Rule 9 in the County of Orange Treasury Oversight Committee Bylaws and Rules of Procedure.



13. Finding No. 13 – One TOC Member Use of a Designee – Noncompliance with Bylaws and Rules of Procedures of the County of Orange Treasury Oversight Committee, Rule 6

Recommendation No. 13 – We recommend that the Treasury Oversight Committee discuss the use of designees at the meetings and whether the Bylaws and Rules of Procedures of the County of Orange Treasury Oversight Committee should be amended to allow for additional designee(s). If the Bylaws and Rules of Procedure are amended, the proposed procedures should be approved by the Board of Supervisors.

<u>Status as of January 25, 2012</u>: **Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit).** The Committee added this provision of designees into the Bylaws at their January 26, 2011 regular meeting and the Board of Supervisors approved the revised Bylaws at their April 19, 2011 regular meeting.

14. Finding No. 14 – The Treasurer-Tax Collector Agenda Lacked Clarity and the Treasury Oversight Committee Meeting Minutes Did Not Provide Adequate Detail Regarding the Discussion of Investment Types Not Specifically Authorized by the IPS

Recommendation No. 14 – We recommend that the Treasurer-Tax Collector prepare meeting agendas and minutes for the Treasury Oversight Committee that include an adequate description of actions to be taken and whether approval or rejection was conferred.

<u>Status as of January 25, 2012</u>: **Implemented (First Follow-Up Audit).** The Treasurer-Tax Collector now prepares the Treasury Oversight Committee's meeting agendas and minutes with complete descriptions of actions being requested, actions taken, and whether they passed or were rejected.

We appreciate the assistance extended to us by the Treasurer-Tax Collector during our Follow-Up Audit. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at 834-5475 or Alan Marcum, Senior Audit Manager at 834-4119.

Members, Treasury Oversight Committee Distribution List:

Hon. Dr. Al Mijares, County Superintendent, OC Department of Education Jan Grimes, Auditor-Controller Michael B. Giancola, County Executive Officer William "Andy" Dunn, Public Member Laura Parisi, Public Member



Distribution Pursuant to Audit Oversight Committee Procedure No. 1:

Members, Board of Supervisors
Members, Audit Oversight Committee
Shari L. Freidenrich, Treasurer-Tax Collector
Paul C. Gorman, Chief Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector
Paul Cocking, Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector
Michael B. Giancola, County Executive Officer
Robert J. Franz, Deputy CEO/Chief Financial Officer
Foreperson, Grand Jury
Susan Novak, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Vavrinek, Trine, Day, and Co., LLP, County External Auditor